Extended nonlinear feedback model for describing episodes of high inflation

Martin A. Szybisz
Departamento de Economía, Facultad de Ciencias Económicas, Universidad de Buenos Aires, Av. Córdoba 2122, RA–1120 Buenos Aires, Argentina

Leszek Szybisz*
Laboratorio TANDAR, Departamento de Física, Comisión Nacional de Energía Atómica, Av. del Libertador 8250, RA–1429 Buenos Aires, Argentina
Departamento de Física, Facultad de Ciencias Exactas y Naturales, Universidad de Buenos Aires, Ciudad Universitaria, RA–1428 Buenos Aires, Argentina and
Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas, Av. Rivadavia 1917, RA–1033 Buenos Aires, Argentina

(Dated: May 18, 2016)

An extension of the nonlinear feedback (NLF) formalism to describe regimes of hyper- and high-inflation in economy is proposed in the present work. In the NLF model the consumer price index (CPI) exhibits a finite time singularity of the type $1/(t_c - t)^{(1-\beta)/\beta}$, with $\beta > 0$, predicting a blow up of the economy at a critical time t_c. However, this model fails in determining t_c in the case of weak hyperinflation regimes like, e.g., that occurred in Israel. To overcome this trouble, the NLF model is extended by introducing a parameter γ, which multiplies all therms with past growth rate index (GRI). In this novel approach the solution for CPI is also analytic being proportional to the Gaussian hypergeometric function ${}_2F_1(1/\beta, 1/\beta, 1 + 1/\beta; z)$, where z is a function of β, γ, and t_c. For $z \to 1$ this hypergeometric function diverges leading to a finite time singularity, from which a value of t_c can be determined. This singularity is also present in GRI. It is shown that the interplay between parameters β and γ may produce phenomena of multiple equilibria. An analysis of the severe hyperinflation occurred in Hungary proves that the novel model is robust. When this model is used for examining data of Israel a reasonable t_c is got. High-inflation regimes in Mexico and Iceland, which exhibit weaker inflations than that of Israel, are also successfully described.

PACS numbers: 02.30.Gp Special functions; 02.40.Xx Singularity theory; 64.60.F- Critical exponents; 89.20.-a Interdisciplinary applications of physics; 89.65.Gh Economics, econophysics; 89.65.-s Social and economic systems

arXiv:1605.04945v1 [q-fin.ST] 4 May 2016

I. INTRODUCTION

When inflation surpasses moderate levels it damages real economic activities. For instance, it affects government's tax revenues because they are effectively received a period later after the declaration that fix them [1, 2]. The perception of relative prices changes becomes more difficult since it is not easy to distinguish whether some price grows as a consequence of a relative price change or it is part of general inflation (the Lucas problem [3]). It produces inefficient changes of relative prices [4] if the adjustment process is different for different kinds of goods inducing misleading allocation of resources [5]. Inflation also affects currency in its property as medium of exchange, store of value, and unit of account. The degree of perturbation is greater the higher the inflation. If consumption goods become relatively more expensive than leisure due to inflation, labor market may be negatively perturbed by reduction of working hours supply [6]. Unanticipated increase in prices reduces real wages and expand employment [7], although the positive employment effect could be lowered or reversed by the effects of falling investment. Furthermore, investment demand may be especially affected because of the shorter planning time scope and growing uncertainty. In general, when the inflation is higher then the decision horizon is shorter. Moreover, with no alternative allocation to money, savings decline and investment falls at expenses of actual consumption, lowering the capital stock growth. Therefore inflation is not a pure nominal problem,m but it is linked to real economy in many non trivial ways [8]. Consequently, governments try to prevent high inflation, and to lower it when reach elevated levels. Parameters can change once policy changes [9]. The relation between sources of inflation and the evolution of parameters is complex and not direct. These issues are also analyzed in text books on econophysics [10–12].

Models of hyperinflation are especially suitable to emphasize that inflation implies bad "states of nature" in economy. Wars, changes of social regimens, states bankruptcies are the characteristics of such regimens. These factors together with the influence of pure economical variables like expectations, money demand, velocity of circulation and quantity of money, give an increase of the consumer price index (CPI) larger than exponential as can be observed in the investigated cases [13–20]. In turn, this behavior affects negatively the social network

*Corresponding author; Electronic address: szybisz@tandar.cnea.gov.ar

causing unpleasant situations.

The model for hyperinflation available in the literature is based on a nonlinear feedback (NLF) characterized by an exponent $\beta > 0$ of a power law. In such an approach the CPI exhibits a finite time singularity of the form $1/(t_c - t)^{(1-\beta)/\beta}$, allowing a determination of a critical time t_c at which the economy would crash. This model has been successfully applied to many cases [15–20]. However, in the most recent paper [20], it is shown that for the episode of weak hyperinflation occurred in Israel it is impossible to determine a value for t_c within the NLF model because β goes to zero. In this limit one gets the linear feedback approach which does not contain information on t_c [14]. This drawback was attributed to a permanent but partially successful efforts for stopping inflation in Israel.

In order to include in the NLF formalism information on efforts for stabilization like those observed in the case of Israel, we developed an extension of this model introducing a parameter γ, which multiplies all the past growth rate index (GRI) contributions changing the relative weight of the therm with the power law. The interplay between β and γ leads to multiple equilibria phenomena for episodes of high inflation. The literature on this kind of behavior in models of economics is large and diverse [21], see also e.g. Refs. [22–27]. We use the well known multiple equilibria expression to indicate the existence of multiple trajectories compatible with data which lead to very different states of nature of the economy where the final outcome is stable or not. In the extended NLF approach the solution for CPI becomes proportional to the Gaussian hypergeometric function ${}_2F_1(1/\beta, 1/\beta, 1 + 1/\beta; z)$, where z is a function of β, γ, and t_c. Since for $z \to 1$ this hypergeometric function diverges, a finite time singularity shows up allowing a determination of t_c. It is important to notice that the Gaussian hypergeometric appears in a variety of physical and mathematical problems. In quantum mechanics, the solution of the Schrödinger equation for some potentials is expressed in terms of ${}_2F_1$ [28]. Moreover, the eigenfunctions of the angular momentum operators are sometimes written in terms of ${}_2F_1$ functions [29].

In the present work we also investigated the effects of the parameter γ on the non linear dynamic evolution of real inflations. Firstly, the robustness of the novel model is tested analyzing the severe hyperinflation occurred in Hungary after World War II. Next, it is successfully applied for studying the weaker hyperinflation developed in Israel and the high-inflation episodes observed in Mexico and Iceland. In order to understand better the evolution of prices in these countries, brief descriptions of historical events are provided. In these cases we found multiple equilibria.

The paper is organized in the following way. In Sec. II the NLF model is outlined with some details because it is the starting point for the extension proposed in Sec. III. The limit $\gamma \to 1$ is discussed. Section IV is devoted to report and discuss the results obtained by applying the novel approach. Finally, the main conclusions are summarized in Sec. V.

II. THEORETICAL BACKGROUND

Let us recall that the rate of inflation $i(t)$ is defined as

$$i(t) = \frac{P(t) - P(t - \Delta t)}{P(t - \Delta t)} = \frac{P(t)}{P(t - \Delta t)} - 1 \,, \qquad (2.1)$$

where $P(t)$ is the CPI at time t and Δt is the period of the measurements. In the academic financial literature, the simplest and most robust way to account for inflation is to take logarithm. Hence, the continuous rate of change in prices is defined as

$$C(t) = \frac{\partial \ln P(t)}{\partial t} \,. \qquad (2.2)$$

Usually the derivative of Eq. (2.2) is expressed in a discrete way as

$$\begin{aligned} C(t + \frac{\Delta t}{2}) &= \frac{[\ln P(t + \Delta t) - \ln P(t)]}{\Delta t} \\ &= \frac{1}{\Delta t} \ln \left[\frac{P(t + \Delta t)}{P(t)} \right] . \end{aligned} \qquad (2.3)$$

The GRI over one period is defined as

$$\begin{aligned} r(t + \frac{\Delta t}{2}) &\equiv C(t + \frac{\Delta t}{2}) \, \Delta t = \ln \left[\frac{P(t + \Delta t)}{P(t)} \right] \\ &= \ln[1 + i(t + \Delta t)] = p(t + \Delta t) - p(t) \,, \end{aligned} \qquad (2.4)$$

where a widely utilized notation

$$p(t) = \ln P(t) \,, \qquad (2.5)$$

was introduced. It is straightforward to show that the accumulated CPI is given by

$$P(t) = P(t_0) \, \exp \left[\frac{1}{\Delta t} \int_{t_0}^{t} r(t') dt' \right] . \qquad (2.6)$$

A. Adaptive inflationary expectation

In the fifties, Cagan has proposed [13] a model of inflation based on the mechanism of "adaptive inflationary expectation" with positive feedback between realized growth of the market price $P(t)$ and the growth of people's averaged expectation price $P^*(t)$. These two prices are thought to evolve due to a positive feedback mechanism: an upward change of market price $P(t)$ in a unit time Δt induces a rise in the people's expectation price $P^*(t)$, and such an anticipation pushes on the market price. So, one may write

$$\frac{P(t + \Delta t)}{P(t)} = \frac{P^*(t)}{P(t)} = \frac{P^*(t)}{P^*(t - \Delta t)} \,. \qquad (2.7)$$

Actually $P^*(t)/P(t)$ indicates that the process induces a non exact proportional response of adaptation due to the fact that the expected inflation $P^*(t)$ expands the response to the price level $P(t)$ in order to forecast and meet the inflation of the next period. Now, one may introduce the expected GRI

$$r^*(t+\frac{\Delta t}{2}) \equiv C^*(t+\frac{\Delta t}{2})\,\Delta t = \ln\left[\frac{P^*(t+\Delta t)}{P^*(t)}\right] . \quad (2.8)$$

B. The NLF Model

Sornette, Takayasu, and Zhou (STZ) [15] introduced a nonlinear feedback (NLF) process in the formalism suggesting that the people's expectation price $P^*(t)$ obeys

$$\ln\left[\frac{P^*(t+\Delta t)}{P^*(t)}\right] = \ln\left[\frac{P(t)}{P(t-\Delta t)}\right] \times \left(1+2\,a_p\left\{\ln\left[\frac{P(t)}{P(t-\Delta t)}\right]\right\}^{\beta}\right) . \quad (2.9)$$

Here a_p is a positive dimensionless feedback's strength and $\beta > 0$ is the exponent of the power law. This expression together with Eq. (2.7) leads to

$$r(t+\Delta t) = r(t-\Delta t) + 2\,a_p\,[r(t-\Delta t)]^{1+\beta} . \quad (2.10)$$

Taking the continuous limit of this relation one obtains the following equation for the time evolution of r

$$\frac{dr}{dt} = \frac{a_p}{\Delta t}\,[r(t)]^{1+\beta} . \quad (2.11)$$

The solution for GRI follows a power law exhibiting a singularity at finite-time t_c [15–17]

$$r(t) = r_0\left[\frac{1}{1-\beta\,a_p\,r_0^{\beta}\left(\frac{t-t_0}{\Delta t}\right)}\right]^{1/\beta} = r_0\left(\frac{t_c-t_0}{t_c-t}\right)^{1/\beta} . \quad (2.12)$$

The critical time t_c being determined by the initial GRI $r(t=t_0)=r_0$, the exponent β, and the strength parameter a_p

$$\frac{t_c-t_0}{\Delta t} = \frac{1}{\beta\,a_p\,r_0^{\beta}} . \quad (2.13)$$

The CPI is obtained by integrating $r(t)$ according to Eq. (2.6). For $\beta \neq 1$, denoted as case (i), it becomes

$$\ln\left[\frac{P(t)}{P_0}\right] = p(t)-p_0 = \int_{t_0}^{t} r(t')\frac{dt'}{\Delta t} = \frac{r_0^{1-\beta}}{(1-\beta)\,a_p}\left\{\left[\frac{1}{1-\beta\,a_p\,r_0^{\beta}\left(\frac{t-t_0}{\Delta t}\right)}\right]^{\frac{1-\beta}{\beta}}-1\right\} . \quad (2.14)$$

(i.a) For $0<\beta<1$ one also gets a finite-time singularity in the log-CPI according to the power law

$$p(t) = p_0 + \frac{r_0\,\beta}{1-\beta}\left(\frac{t_c-t_0}{\Delta t}\right)\left[\left(\frac{t_c-t_0}{t_c-t}\right)^{\frac{1-\beta}{\beta}}-1\right] . \quad (2.15)$$

This expression has been used for the analysis of hyperinflation episodes reported in previous papers [15–19].

(i.b) For $\beta > 1$ one gets a finite-time singularity for $r(t)$, but the log-CPI evolves as

$$p(t) = p_0 + \frac{r_0\,\beta}{\beta-1}\left(\frac{t_c-t_0}{\Delta t}\right)\left[1-\left(\frac{t_c-t}{t_c-t_0}\right)^{\frac{\beta-1}{\beta}}\right] \quad (2.16)$$

converging to a finite value when time approaches the critical value t_c

$$p(t\to t_c) = p_0 + \frac{r_0\,\beta}{\beta-1}\left(\frac{t_c-t_0}{\Delta t}\right) . \quad (2.17)$$

(ii) For $\beta = 1$ the integration in Eq. (2.6) yields

$$\ln\left[\frac{P(t)}{P_0}\right] = \int_{t_0}^{t} r(t')\frac{dt'}{\Delta t} = \frac{1}{a_p}\ln\left[\frac{1}{1-a_p\,r_0\,\left(\frac{t-t_0}{\Delta t}\right)}\right] . \quad (2.18)$$

Upon introducing t_c in this expression, the time dependence of $p(t)$ exhibits a logarithmic divergence

$$p(t) = p_0 + r_0\left(\frac{t_c-t_0}{\Delta t}\right)\ln\left(\frac{t_c-t_0}{t_c-t}\right) . \quad (2.19)$$

It was found that for severe episodes of hyperinflation one may get $\beta \to 1$ [18, 19].

In both regimes, (i.a) and (ii), the CPI exhibits a finite-time singularity at the same critical value t_c as GRI. Hence, these solutions correspond to a genuine divergence of $\ln P(t)$.

It is important to notice that for $\beta = 0$ one gets the linear feedback (LF) model suggested previously by Mizuno, Takayasu, and Takayasu (MTT) [14]. In this limit one arrives at

$$\frac{dr}{dt} = \frac{a_p}{\Delta t}\,r(t) \quad \to \quad r(t) = r_0\,\exp\left[a_p\left(\frac{t-t_0}{\Delta t}\right)\right] . \quad (2.20)$$

Hence, the CPI grows as a function of t following a double-exponential law [14, 17]

$$\ln P(t) = p(t) = p_0 + \frac{r_0}{a_p}\left\{\exp\left[a_p\left(\frac{t-t_0}{\Delta t}\right)\right]-1\right\} . \quad (2.21)$$

In the LF model no t_c can be determined. Furthermore, by setting now $a_p = 0$ one gets

$$\frac{dr}{dt} = 0 \quad \to \quad r(t) = r_0 , \quad (2.22)$$

and

$$\ln P(t) = p(t) = p_0 + r_0\left(\frac{t-t_0}{\Delta t}\right) , \quad (2.23)$$

which is the Cagan's original proposal.

III. EXTENSION OF THE NLF MODEL

Let us now present a way for introducing to some extent information on saturation within the framework of the theory outlined in Sec. II B. A simple generalization of the formalism would be to include a parameter γ multiplying $r(t)$ in the feedback term

$$\frac{dr}{dt} = \frac{a_p}{\Delta t}\,[\gamma\, r(t)]^{1+\beta}\ . \tag{3.1}$$

However, this attempt leads to a mere change of the parameter a_p by $a_p\,[\gamma]^{1+\beta}$

$$\frac{dr}{dt} = \frac{a_p\,[\gamma]^{1+\beta}}{\Delta t}\,[r(t)]^{1+\beta}\ . \tag{3.2}$$

Hence, this kind of approach should be done in a more elaborated way. An adequate procedure is to multiply by γ all the terms corresponding to past GRI, i.e. $\ln\left[\frac{P(t)}{P(t-\Delta t)}\right]$, in Eq. (2.9)

$$\begin{aligned}\ln\left[\frac{P^*(t+\Delta t)}{P^*(t)}\right] &= \gamma\ln\left[\frac{P(t)}{P(t-\Delta t)}\right] \\ &\times\ \left(1+2\,a_p\left\{\gamma\ln\left[\frac{P(t)}{P(t-\Delta t)}\right]\right\}^{\beta}\right)\ .\end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

In this case the Eq. (2.10) becomes

$$r(t+\Delta t) = \gamma\, r(t-\Delta t) + 2\,a_p\,[\gamma\, r(t-\Delta t)]^{1+\beta}\ . \tag{3.4}$$

The new parameter γ would account for the changes of actions in the private, external and/or government sector. Equation (3.4) may be cast into the form

$$\begin{aligned}r(t+\Delta t)\ -\ r(t-\Delta t) &= (\gamma-1)\,r(t-\Delta t) \\ &+2\,a_p\,\gamma^{1+\beta}\,[r(t-\Delta t)]^{1+\beta}\ ,\end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

which can be rewritten as

$$r(t+2\Delta t) - r(t) = (\gamma-1)\,r(t) + 2\,a_p\,\gamma^{1+\beta}\,[r(t)]^{1+\beta}\ . \tag{3.6}$$

Upon dividing both sides of this relation by $2\Delta t$ and doing the transition from discrete to continues functions one gets a differential equation of the Bernoulli type

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{dr}{dt} &= \dot r(t) = \frac{\gamma-1}{2\,\Delta t}\,r(t) + \frac{a_p}{\Delta t}\,\gamma^{1+\beta}\,[r(t)]^{1+\beta} \\ &= s\,r(t) + q\,[r(t)]^{1+\beta}\ ,\end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

where

$$s = \frac{\gamma-1}{2\,\Delta t}\quad and\quad q = \frac{a_p}{\Delta t}\,\gamma^{1+\beta}\ , \tag{3.8}$$

are introduced to simplify the notation at this stage. Equation (3.7) can be cast into the form

$$\frac{\dot r(t)}{[r(t)]^{1+\beta}} - \frac{s}{[r(t)]^{\beta}} = q\ . \tag{3.9}$$

Introducing the change of variables

$$v(t) = \frac{1}{[r(t)]^{\beta}}\quad and\quad \dot v(t) = -\beta\,\frac{\dot r(t)}{[r(t)]^{1+\beta}}\ , \tag{3.10}$$

one gets the linear differential equation

$$\dot v(t) + \beta\, s\, v(t) = -\beta\, q\ , \tag{3.11}$$

with the constrain

$$v(t=t_0) = v_0 = \frac{1}{[r(t=t_0)]^{\beta}} = \frac{1}{r_0^{\beta}}\ . \tag{3.12}$$

The solution is

$$v(t) = -\frac{q}{s} + \left(\frac{1}{r_0^{\beta}} + \frac{q}{s}\right)\,\exp[-\beta\, s\,(t-t_0)]\ , \tag{3.13}$$

which leads to

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{[r(t)]^{\beta}} &= \frac{1}{r_0^{\beta}}\Big[\,\exp[-\beta\, s\,(t-t_0)] \\ &+\frac{q\,r_0^{\beta}}{s}\left\{\exp[-\beta\, s\,(t-t_0)] - 1\right\}\Big]\ .\end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

Hence, the GRI in this extended NLF (denoted as ENLF) model becomes

$$\begin{aligned}r(t) &= r_0\left[\left(1+\frac{q\,r_0^{\beta}}{s}\right)\,\exp[-\beta\, s\,(t-t_0)] - \frac{q\,r_0^{\beta}}{s}\right]^{-1/\beta} \\ &= r_0\,\exp[s\,(t-t_0)] \\ &\times\left[\frac{s}{s+q\,r_0^{\beta}(1-\exp[\beta\, s\,(t-t_0)])}\right]^{1/\beta}\ .\end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

In the limit $\gamma \to 1$ one gets

$$s\to 0\quad and\quad q\to\frac{a_p}{\Delta t} = 1/[\beta\, r_0^{\beta}\,(t_c - t_0)]\ . \tag{3.16}$$

In turn, the CPI is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\ln\left[\frac{P(t)}{P_0}\right] &= r_0\int_{t_0}^{t}\frac{dt'}{\Delta t}\,\exp[s\,(t'-t_0)] \\ &\times\ \left[\frac{s}{s+q\,r_0^{\beta}(1-\exp[\beta\, s\,(t'-t_0)])}\right]^{1/\beta}\ .\end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

The general solution $\forall\beta$ provided by the Wolfram's Mathematica on line integrator reads

$$\begin{aligned}\ln\left[\frac{P(t)}{P_0}\right] &= \frac{r_0}{s\Delta t}\left(\frac{s}{s+qr_0^{\beta}(1-\exp[\beta s(t'-t_0)])}\right)^{1/\beta} \\ &\times\exp[s(t'-t_0)]\left(1-z\right)^{1/\beta} \\ &\times\,{}_2F_1(1/\beta,1/\beta,1+1/\beta;z)\Big|_{t_0}^{t}\ ,\end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

where ${}_2F_1(1/\beta, 1/\beta, 1+1/\beta; z)$ is the Gaussian hypergeometric function (see Appendix A) with

$$z = \frac{q\, r_0^\beta \exp[\beta s(t'-t_0)]}{s + q\, r_0^\beta} \,. \tag{3.19}$$

Furthermore, by introducing this expression for z in Eq. (3.18), the latter equation for log-CPI can be cast into a more compact form

$$\begin{aligned} \ln\left[\frac{P(t)}{P_0}\right] &= \frac{r_0}{s\,\Delta t}\left(\frac{s}{s+q\,r_0^\beta}\right)^{1/\beta} \exp[s(t'-t_0)] \\ &\quad \times {}_2F_1(1/\beta, 1/\beta, 1+1/\beta; z)\Big|_{t_0}^{t} \\ &= \frac{r_0}{s\Delta t}\left(\frac{s}{s+qr_0^\beta}\right)^{1/\beta} \\ &\times \Big[\exp[s(t-t_0)]\, {}_2F_1(1/\beta, 1/\beta, 1+1/\beta; z) \\ &\quad - {}_2F_1(1/\beta, 1/\beta, 1+1/\beta; z_0)\Big] \,, \end{aligned} \tag{3.20}$$

with

$$z_0 = z(t=t_0) = \frac{q\, r_0^\beta}{s + q\, r_0^\beta} \,, \tag{3.21}$$

and

$$z = z_0 \, \exp[\beta s(t-t_0)] \,. \tag{3.22}$$

Notice that for $z \to 1$ the hypergeometric function ${}_2F_1(1/\beta, 1/\beta, 1+1/\beta; z)$ diverges. Let us emphasize that Eq. (3.20) embodies solutions or all sectors of β. Although for $\beta = 1$ a simple integration yields

$$\begin{aligned} \ln\left[\frac{P(t)}{P_0}\right] &= r_0 \int_{t_0}^{t} \frac{dt'}{\Delta t} \exp[s\,(t'-t_0)] \\ &\quad \times \left[\frac{s}{s + q\, r_0 (1 - \exp[s\,(t'-t_0)])}\right] \\ &= -\frac{\ln[s + q\, r_0\,(1 - \exp[s(t'-t_0)])]}{q\,\Delta t}\Big|_{t_0}^{t} \\ &= \frac{1}{q\,\Delta t} \ln\left[\frac{s}{s + q\, r_0\,(1 - \exp[s(t-t_0)])}\right] . \end{aligned} \tag{3.23}$$

The latter result can be also obtained by introducing into Eq. (3.20) the expression of the hypergeometric functions corresponding to $\beta = 1$

$${}_2F_1(1,1,2;z) = -\frac{1}{z}\ln(1-z) \,. \tag{3.24}$$

This procedure yields

$$\begin{aligned} \ln\left[\frac{P(t)}{P_0}\right] &= \frac{r_0}{\Delta t}\left(\frac{1}{s+qr_0}\right)\frac{1}{z_0} \\ &\times \Big[-\ln(1-z) + \ln(1-z_0)\Big] \,, \end{aligned} \tag{3.25}$$

leading to Eq. (3.23).

Equations (3.20) and (3.23) have two domains of solutions, one for $\gamma > 1$ (implying $s > 0$) and the other for $\gamma < 1$ ($s < 0$). In the Appendix B we show that in the limiting case $\gamma \to 1$ (i.e., $s \to 0$) from above, the generalized expressions for GRI and CPI provided by the ENLF model reduce to the forms reported previously in Refs. [15, 17] and summarized in Sec. II.

A. Critical time t_c in the ENLF model

Let us now rewrite Eqs. (3.15), (3.20), and (3.23) in terms of γ and determine the critical time t_c within this novel formalism. In so doing, after inserting the definitions of s and q in Eq. (3.15) for GRI, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &r(t) = r_0 \\ &\times\left[\frac{\gamma-1}{(\gamma - 1 + 2a_p\gamma^{1+\beta}r_0^\beta)\exp(-\delta) - 2a_p\gamma^{1+\beta}r_0^\beta}\right]^{1/\beta} , \end{aligned} \tag{3.26}$$

with

$$\delta = \beta\,\frac{(\gamma-1)}{2}\left(\frac{t-t_0}{\Delta t}\right) . \tag{3.27}$$

The CPI becomes $\forall\beta$

$$\begin{aligned} \ln\left[\frac{P(t)}{P_0}\right] &= \frac{2\,r_0}{\gamma-1}\left(\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma-1+2\,a_p\,\gamma^{1+\beta}\,r_0^\beta}\right)^{1/\beta} \\ &\times\Big[\exp(\delta/\beta)\ {}_2F_1(1/\beta, 1/\beta, 1+1/\beta; z) \\ &\quad - {}_2F_1(1/\beta, 1/\beta, 1+1/\beta; z_0)\Big] \,, \end{aligned} \tag{3.28}$$

with

$$z = \frac{2\,a_p\,\gamma^{1+\beta}\,r_0^\beta\,\exp(\delta)}{\gamma - 1 + 2\,a_p\,\gamma^{1+\beta}\,r_0^\beta} \,, \tag{3.29}$$

keeping in mind that $z_0 = z(t=t_0)$. For $\beta = 1$, the CPI reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} \ln\left[\frac{P(t)}{P_0}\right] &= \frac{1}{a_p\,\gamma^2} \\ &\times \ln\left[\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma - 1 + 2\,a_p\,\gamma^2\,r_0 - 2\,a_p\,\gamma^2\,r_0\,\exp(\delta)}\right] . \end{aligned} \tag{3.30}$$

The finite time singularity occurs at the same value of critical δ_c for GRI and for both sectors (3.28) [with (3.29)] and (3.30) of solutions for log-CPI, satisfying

$$\exp(\delta_c) = 1 + \frac{\gamma-1}{2\,a_p\,\gamma^{1+\beta}\,r_0^\beta} \,. \tag{3.31}$$

In turn, the critical time t_c can be determined by equating this relation with $\delta_c = \delta(t = t_c)$ given by Eq. (3.27)

$$\delta_c = \beta\frac{(\gamma-1)}{2}\left(\frac{t_c - t_0}{\Delta t}\right) = \ln\left[1 + \frac{\gamma-1}{2a_p\gamma^{1+\beta}r_0^\beta}\right] . \quad (3.32)$$

The result is

$$\frac{t_c - t_0}{\Delta t} = \frac{2}{\beta\,(\gamma-1)}\,\ln\left[1 + \frac{\gamma-1}{2\,a_p\,\gamma^{1+\beta}\,r_0^\beta}\right] , \quad (3.33)$$

providing the new expression for t_c.

Let us now show that in the limit $\gamma \to 1$ one retrieves for t_c the expression given in Sect. II B. Expanding the logarithm in powers of $(\gamma-1)/(2\,a_p\,\gamma^{1+\beta}\,r_0^\beta)$ one gets

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{t_c - t_0}{\Delta t} &= \left[\frac{2}{\beta\,(\gamma-1)}\right]\left[\frac{\gamma-1}{2\,a_p\,\gamma^{1+\beta}\,r_0^\beta}\right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\gamma-1}{2\,a_p\,\gamma^{1+\beta}\,r_0^\beta}\right)^2 + \mathcal{O}(h-o)\right] \\ &\simeq \frac{1}{\beta\,a_p\,\gamma^{1+\beta}\,r_0^\beta}\left(1 - \frac{\gamma-1}{4\,a_p\,\gamma^{1+\beta}\,r_0^\beta}\right) . \quad (3.34)\end{aligned}$$

Then, for $\gamma \to 1$ one arrives at

$$\frac{t_c - t_0}{\Delta t} = \frac{1}{\beta\,a_p\,r_0^\beta} , \quad (3.35)$$

recovering the relation of Eq. (2.13).

B. Observables as a function of t_c in the ENLF model

Upon introducing Eq. (3.31) into Eq. (3.26) the GRI becomes

$$\begin{aligned}r(t) &= r_0\left[\frac{\gamma-1}{2\,a_p\,\gamma^{1+\beta}\,r_0^\beta}\right]^{1/\beta} \\ &\quad \times\left[\left(1 + \frac{\gamma-1}{2a_p\gamma^{1+\beta}r_0^\beta}\right)\exp(-\delta) - 1\right]^{-1/\beta} \\ &= r_0\left[\frac{\exp(\delta_c)-1}{\exp(\delta_c-\delta)-1}\right]^{1/\beta} = r_0\left[\frac{1-z_0}{\exp(-\delta)-z_0}\right]^{1/\beta} , \quad (3.36)\end{aligned}$$

with

$$z_0 = \exp(-\delta_c) = \exp\left[-\beta\,\frac{(\gamma-1)}{2}\left(\frac{t_c - t_0}{\Delta t}\right)\right] . \quad (3.37)$$

Furthermore, the CPI can be cast into the form

$$\begin{aligned}p(t) &= p_0 + \frac{2\,r_0}{\gamma-1}\left(1 - z_0\right)^{1/\beta} \\ &\quad \times\left[\exp(\delta/\beta)\,{}_2F_1(1/\beta, 1/\beta, 1+1/\beta; z_0\exp(\delta))\right. \\ &\quad \left. - {}_2F_1(1/\beta, 1/\beta, 1+1/\beta; z_0)\right] \\ &= p_0 + \frac{2\,r_0}{\gamma-1}\left(1 - z_0\right)^{1/\beta} \\ &\quad \times\left[\exp(\delta/\beta)\,{}_2F_1(1/\beta, 1/\beta, 1+1/\beta; z)\right. \\ &\quad \left. - {}_2F_1(1/\beta, 1/\beta, 1+1/\beta; z_0)\right] , \quad (3.38)\end{aligned}$$

where z is

$$z = z_0\,\exp(\delta) = \exp\left[-\beta\,\frac{(\gamma-1)}{2}\left(\frac{t_c - t}{\Delta t}\right)\right] . \quad (3.39)$$

For $t < t_c$ one gets $z < 1$, while at $t = t_c$ the value of z becomes unity and at this point the hypergeometric function diverges. For $\beta = 1$, instead of Eq. (3.38) one can write

$$\begin{aligned}p(t) &= p_0 + \frac{1}{a_p\,\gamma^2}\left\{\ln\left[\frac{\gamma-1}{2\,a_p\,\gamma^2\,r_0}\right]\right. \\ &\quad \left. - \ln\left[\left(1 + \frac{\gamma-1}{2\,a_p\,\gamma^2\,r_0}\right) - \exp(\delta)\right]\right\} \\ &= p_0 + \frac{2\,r_0}{\gamma-1}[\exp(\delta_c)-1]\ln\left[\frac{\exp(\delta_c)-1}{\exp(\delta_c)-\exp(\delta)}\right] \\ &= p_0 + \frac{2\,r_0}{\gamma-1}\left[\frac{1-z_0}{z_0}\right]\ln\left[\frac{1-z_0}{1-z}\right] . \quad (3.40)\end{aligned}$$

IV. ANALYSIS OF HYPER- AND HIGH-INFLATION EPISODES APPLYING THE ENLF MODEL

In a first step, we shall show that the ENLF formalism is robust for episodes of severe hyperinflation, leading to values of γ very close to unity. Next, cases of weaker inflations will be treated.

A. Catastrophic hyperinflation in Hungary

Let us begin the application of the novel approach by tackling an emblematic case. An important test for any formalism developed for describing regimes of high inflation is to verify whether it is able to account for the evolution of prices occurred in Hungary right after World War II [14, 15]. For this severe hyperinflation there is available a data series collected in Table A.1 of Ref. [30] (see also [31]) constructed on a basis of a biweekly frequency.

TABLE I: Parameters obtained from the analysis of the hyperinflation in Hungary after World War II.

Period	Parameters					Model	χ
	t_c	r_0	β	γ	p_0		
1945:04:30-1946:07:15	1946:09:03	0.150	0.500		3.82	NLF*	1.168
	1946:07:30±01	0.199±0.003	0.700±0.004		4.07	NLF	0.759
	1946:07:28±04	0.216±0.017	0.733±0.044		3.66±0.17	NLF	0.704
	1946:07:30±01	0.199±0.003	0.700±0.004	1.0001±0.0058	4.07	ENLF	0.761
1945:04:30-1946:07:31	1946:09:02±05	0.158±0.011	0.514±0.026		4.07	NLF	1.123
	1946:09:01±03	0.160±0.008	0.518±0.014		3.44±0.27	NLF	1.123
	1946:09:02±01	0.158±0.001	0.514±0.001	1.0003±0.0179	4.07	ENLF	1.123

* The values of the parameters listed in this line were calculated using those reported by STZ [15], see text.



FIG. 1: (a) Squares are data of biweekly CPI in Hungary from 1945:04:30 to 1946:07:31 normalized to $P(t_0 = 1945:04:30) = 58.35$. The horizontal continuous line indicates the initial stable regime. (b) Circles are data of GRI for the same period as in (a). Dashed curves in both panels indicate fits of all these data to Eqs. (2.15) and (2.12). Solid curves stand for fits of data excluding the value at 1946:07:31. The vertical solid lines are the predicted t_c in the latter case.

The word "biweekly" is used to designate data available on the 15th and on the last day of each month. Figure 1 shows the CPI and GRI during the period from April 30, 1945 to July 31, 1946 (i.e., from 1945:04:30 to 1946:07:31, in the case of Hungary the notation Year:Month:Day will be used). Notice that the CPI values are normalized to $P_0 = P(t_0 = 1945:04:30) = 58.35$. Several fits of these data were performed.

An inspection to Fig. 1(b) suggests that the value at 1946:07:31 could be already affected by the stabilization policy adopted by the Hungarian government [30]. Therefore, in a first step we performed a fit of CPI data up to 1946:07:15 with Eq. (2.15) setting $p_0 = \ln P_0 = 4.066$. The numerical task was accomplished by using a routine of the book by Bevington [32] cited as the first reference in Chaps. 15.4 and 15.5 of the more recent *Numerical Recipes* [33]. In practice, the applied procedure yields the uncertainty in each parameter directly from the minimization algorithm. The parameters yielded by these fits are listed in Table I together with the root-mean-square (r.m.s.) residue of the fit, χ. A simple inspection indicates a crash at the beginning of August 1946. Values of GRI were evaluated with Eq. (2.12). The good quality of the fits is shown by solid curves in panels (a) and (b) of Fig. 1.

Table 1 in Ref. [15] indicates that STZ have also analyzed data of Hungary from 1945:04:30 to 1946:07:15. In order to facilitate a quantitative comparison with the study reported by STZ, we evaluated the parameters r_0, β, and p_0 utilized in the present work by inserting the values of t_c, α, A, and B listed in Table 1 of Ref. [15] into the following relations

$$r_0/\Delta t = \alpha\, B/(t_c - t_0)^{1+\alpha}\,, \tag{4.1}$$

$$\beta = 1/(1+\alpha)\,, \tag{4.2}$$

$$p_0 = A + B/(t_c - t_0)^{\alpha}\,. \tag{4.3}$$

These results are included in our Table I. A glance at this table indicates a shift between the present results and that of STZ.

For the sake of completeness, we also analyzed CPI data including the value at 1946:07:31. This fit yielded the parameters quoted in Table I and the dashed curves in panels (a) and (b) of Fig. 1 show the adjustment. As



FIG. 2: (a) Open squares are yearly CPI in Israel since 1969 to 1991 normalized to P(t_0=1969)=1. The dashed curve indicates the fit of $\ln P(t)$ since 1969 to 1985 to Eq. (2.21) corresponding to the LF model, while the dot-dashed curve stands for the fit of $P(t)$ to Eq. (2.15) as reported by STZ (see text). The solid curve stands for the fit of $\ln P(t)$ to Eq. (3.38) provided by the present ENLF(t_c) model. (b) Open circles are GRI for the same period as in (a). The solid and dashed curves are evaluations performed with Eqs. (3.36) of the ENLF(t_c) and (2.20) of the LF model, respectively.

expected, the new fit suggests a later date for the crash of the economy than the prediction provided by the shorter series, now the blow up would occur at the beginning of September 1946. Surprisingly, one may observe in Table I that the results of STZ, including the χ, are almost the same as that obtained in the present work with the series ending at 1946:07:31. For the sake of completeness, fits leaving p_0 free were also performed. Looking at Table I one may realize that no significant changes are obtained.

As planned the study of the Hungarian hyperinflation is finished by applying the ENLF formalism derived in Sec. III of the present paper. In so doing, both the shorter and larger series of CPI data were fitted to Eq. (3.38). The obtained parameters are also quoted in Table I, where one can observe that all the "old" parameters remain unchanged. Predictions for GRI were computed using Eq. (3.36). The match to Eqs. (3.36) and (3.38) and those corresponding to Eqs. (2.12) and (2.15) are indistinguishable on the scales of Fig. 1. One can realize that in both cases γ stays equal to unity within the uncertainty. For the complete series up to 1946:07:31 the uncertainty is slightly larger, this feature could be attributed to the beginning of the stabilization process. All these results support the robustness of the extended model.

B. Weak hyperinflation in Israel

Let us now examine the case of Israel, which have been already studied in a previous work [20]. Figure 2(a) shows the yearly data for the CPI in Israel computed using data taken from a Table of the International Monetary Fund (IMF) [34]. The upward curvature of the logarithm of CPI as a function of time indicates that from 1969 to 1985 the hyperinflation exhibits a faster than exponential growth. The inflation got triple-digit rates of about 400% at their peak in the mid-1980's. Leiderman and Liviatan [35] attributed this response to the implicit preference for short-term considerations of avoiding unemployment over long-term monetary stability. In 1985 a new strategy was applied to stop the hyperinflation.

The results for the parameters and the χ obtained in the previous work [20] are reproduced in Table II. Two time series of CPI were examined: one with data from 1969 until 1985 (this series has been studied in Refs. [14] and [15]) and the other excluding the value of 1985 when the final stabilization started. From that analysis it was concluded that when applying the NLF model the fits indicate a strong correlation between β and t_c. In Table II two sets of parameters are provided, one corresponds to stopping minimization when the change of χ from the "i" to the "$i+1$"-iteration becomes less than $\Delta\chi < 10^{-1}\%$ and the other for $\Delta\chi < 10^{-3}\%$. Let us mention that for that study p_0 was set equal to zero. The fitting procedure showed that β decreases approaching zero while t_c increases, this occurs in such a way that the product $\beta \times (t_c - t_0)/\Delta t$ converges to a constant yielding a well defined value of the parameter a_p given by [see Eq. (2.13)]

$$a_p(\mathrm{NLF}) = \frac{\Delta \mathrm{t}}{\beta\, \mathrm{r}_0^{\beta}\, (\mathrm{t_c} - \mathrm{t_0})}\ , \tag{4.4}$$

which is also quoted in Table II. Since for $\beta \to 0$ the NLF model converges towards the LF model of MTT

$$a_p(\mathrm{MTT}) = \lim_{\beta \to 0} \left[\frac{\Delta \mathrm{t}}{\beta\, \mathrm{r}_0^{\beta}\, (\mathrm{t_c} - \mathrm{t_0})} \right] = \frac{\Delta \mathrm{t}}{\beta\, (\mathrm{t_c} - \mathrm{t_0})}\ , \tag{4.5}$$

that study was completed by fitting the CPI data directly with Eq. (2.21). The obtained parameters are also quoted in Table II. Figure 2(a) shows the good quality of the fit for the larger series. It is also worthwhile to mention

TABLE II: Parameters obtained from the analysis of episodes of high-inflation.

Country	Period	Parameters						Model	χ
		t_c	a_p	r_0	β	γ	z_0		
Iceland	1960-1983[a]		0.097±0.032	0.063±0.026				LF	0.0817[b]
		2049±122	0.120	0.068±0.027	0.136±0.225			NLF	0.0828[b]
		2141±264	0.107	0.065±0.026	0.061±0.096			NLF	0.0818[c]
		2291	0.076	0.064±0.051	0.037±1.664	1.044±0.073	0.765±0.391	ENLF(z_0)	0.0817[b]
		2049±18	0.092	0.067±0.014	0.151±0.033	1.042±0.066	0.774	ENLF(t_c)	0.0825[b]
		2049.7±5.8	0.091	0.067±0.006	0.151±0.011	1.042±0.022	0.750	ENLF(t_c)	0.0825[c]
Israel	1969-1985	1988.06		0.077	0.149			STZ[d]	0.085
			0.176±0.035	0.101±0.035				LF	0.0876[b]
		2061±72	0.184	0.109±0.035	0.069±0.061			NLF	0.0947[b]
		2527±456	0.177	0.102±0.035	0.010±0.009			NLF	0.0883[c]
		2170	0.130	0.104±0.016	0.022±0.117	1.076±0.008	0.778±0.022	ENLF(z_0)	0.0890[b]
		2015.5±4.8	0.146	0.116±0.018	0.172±0.021	1.069±0.068	0.758	ENLF(t_c)	0.1046[b]
		2015.6±0.6	0.144	0.116±0.003	0.172±0.003	1.072±0.008	0.750	ENLF(t_c)	0.1044[c]
	1969-1984		0.178±0.045	0.100±0.040				LF	0.0885[b]
		2048±79	0.189	0.107±0.041	0.081±0.093			NLF	0.0942[b]
		2430±489	0.179	0.101±0.041	0.012±0.014			NLF	0.0892[c]
		2273	0.130	0.102±0.019	0.022±0.161	1.077±0.011	0.775±0.029	ENLF(z_0)	0.0895[b]
		2014.8±6.2	0.149	0.112±0.021	0.170±0.027	1.071±0.085	0.758	ENLF(t_c)	0.0993[b]
		2014.9±0.7	0.147	0.112±0.003	0.170±0.003	1.074±0.010	0.750	ENLF(t_c)	0.0992[c]
Mexico	1960-1988[a]		0.152±0.028	0.014±0.008				LF	0.0808[b]
		2132±99	0.162	0.016±0.009	0.043±0.028			NLF	0.0890[b]
		3175±731	0.153	0.015±0.008	0.006±0.004			NLF	0.0817[c]
		2069	0.127	0.018±0.008	0.082±0.149	1.061±0.014	0.763±0.052	ENLF(z_0)	0.0941[b]
		2033.6±1.3	0.139	0.020±0.002	0.134±0.005	1.058±0.014	0.751	ENLF(t_c)	0.1045[b]
		2033.6±0.3	0.139	0.020±0.001	0.133±0.001	1.058±0.002	0.750	ENLF(t_c)	0.1043[c]

[a] Data from Ref. [39]. [b] $\Delta\chi = \chi_i - \chi_{i+1} < 10^{-1}\%$. [c] $\Delta\chi < 10^{-3}\%$. [d] The values of the parameters listed in this line were calculated using those reported by STZ [15] (see text), in addition, p_0=1.04.

that the present value for the parameter utilized in the original LF model, i.e. $B_{\rm MTT} = 1 + 2a_p = 1.352$, is in good agreement with the result 1.4 quoted by MTT in Table 1 of Ref. [14]. For the sake of completeness, we plotted in Fig. 2(b) the measured data of GRI together with the theoretical values provided by Eqs. (2.12) and (2.20), which are indistinguishable on the scale of the drawing.

Although the LF model provides a good fit, it does not predict any t_c indicative for a possible crash of the economy. Therefore, in order to estimate a t_c, we shall apply the novel ENLF model to analyze the evolution of prices in Israel looking for multiple equilibria (or trajectories). In practice, there are two strategies for treating the parameters of the ENLF model. One is to adopt as free parameters r_0, β, γ, and t_c like it was done for the analysis of data for Hungary. The other, is to consider r_0, β, γ, and z_0 as free parameters. It is important to notice that the whole contribution of t_c is carried by z_0 in the form of the product $\beta \times (t_c - t_0)/\Delta t$, which also determines a_p(MTT) as shown above. Hence, it would be reasonable to expect a solution compatible with the LF model. Therefore, in order to check this feature, the CPI data for the period 1969-1985 were, in a first step, fitted to Eq. (3.38) expressed in therms of z_0. The obtained parameters are listed in Table II, where one can observe that the χ is similar to that obtained for the long NLF run. The value $\beta = 0.022 \pm 0.117$ is consistent with zero suggesting a LF with a renormalized strength given by Eq. (3.7) with $\beta = 0$

$$a_p(\beta \to 0) = a_p(\mathrm{MTT}) - \frac{\gamma - 1}{2\,\gamma}\,. \qquad (4.6)$$

In fact, the results for $a_p(z_0)$ [evaluated from Eqs. (3.32) and (3.37)] and a_p(MTT) quoted in Table II satisfy this relation. This solution remains stable when the minimization procedure is continued. Furthermore, the use of Eq. (3.37) with the listed results for z_0, β, and γ yields $t_c = 2170$, which is too large for a hyperinflation developing during the 1980's. So, the prediction of this solution is not useful.

The fit considering r_0, β, γ, and t_c as free parameters yielded the set of results reported in Table II. The obtained values $t_c = 2015$, $\beta = 0.17$, and $\gamma = 1.07$ are quite reasonable for a rather slow hyperinflation. If the fit is continued the parameters do not change, only the uncertainties diminish as can be seen in Table II. Although the χ of this solution for t_c is slightly larger than that determined by using z_0, it is quite acceptable. The good quality of the present ENLF(t_c) description of measured data for both CPI and GRI is shown in Fig. 2.

The value of t_c obtained by fitting $\ln P(t)$ with Eq.

(3.38) is larger than that determined by STZ* from a phenomenological fit of $P(t)$ to Eq. (2.15). This feature is due to the fact that, for a regime with inflation, $P(t)$ presents a steeper slope than $\ln P(t)$

$$\frac{dP(t)}{dt} = P(t)\,\frac{d\ln P(t)}{dt}\ . \tag{4.7}$$

The steeper is the curvature the earlier becomes t_c [see Fig. 2(a)].

Since the actions for stopping the inflation begun in 1985 [35], we also performed an analysis of the reduced period 1969-1984. The values yielded by the new sequence of fits are also included in Table II. There one can observe small changes between the parameters corresponding to both series of data.

In summary, we can state that in the case of the hyperinflation occurred in Israel it is possible to predict a reasonable t_c by applying the ENLF model proposed in the present paper. In fact, the interaction between β and γ leads to multiequilibria phenomena yielding solutions with $\beta = 0$ (no prediction for t_c) and $\beta > 0$ (t_c is determined). As mentioned in Sec. I, the literature on multiple equilibria in economics is huge [21–27].

C. High-inflation episodes in Mexico and Iceland

This section is devoted to study regimes of high-inflation that are not catalog as episodes of hyperinflation. Looking at tables of inflations one can find several examples of this type. If evolution of the CPI of such a sort of episodes is described by Eq. (2.15) one finds similar features to that encountered in the case of Israel. For illustrating this kind of behavior we selected the evolution of prices during periods of high-inflation occurred in Mexico and Iceland.

The economy of Mexico is rather large, the number of inhabitants during the period of high-inflation was close to 100 millions. The general conditions that gave rise to such an inflation have been based on domestic troubles and the management of petroleum business [36–38]. Political unrest escalated during the 1960's. Students of the Autonomous National University of Mexico began organizing large scale demonstrations in Mexico City in 1968. These protests were followed by violent episodes including the killing of demonstrators by members of the armed forces [37]. At the beginning of the 70's president Luis Echeverría Álvarez trying to avoid an ongoing insurgency distributed land to a communal farming arrangement. After this step the production decreased substantially. In addition, he promoted extensive subsidies for public and private enterprises. For instance, one of the projects was a steel complex in Michoacán. These programs could not be funded out of existing tax funds so the Central Bank printed money. One of the effects of these policies was a serious inflation accompanied by an economic crisis. At the end of the 70's during the presidency of José López Portillo y Pacheco new oil fields were discovered in the south of Mexico. Because of the existence of these new reserves and the rising international price of petroleum, foreign bankers were willing to lend Mexico vast amount of money. By 1982 almost half of the petroleum exports earning were going to pay the interest and other scheduled payments for the foreign debt. So, these discoveries did not alleviate the problem of inflation, the annual rate of inflation hit 100% [see Fig. 3(b)]. In September 1982 the banks of Mexico were nationalized. The price of petroleum began to fall in response to the increased quantity of petroleum being supplied. When Miguel de la Madrid Hurtado came into office at the end of 1982 Mexico's economic house was in a great state of disarray. There was a huge foreign debt requiring excessive foreign currency credit to service. He promulgated a program of economic austerity which included: increases in tax rates; reduction of the federal government budget; reduction of subsidies for some commodities; postponement of many public projects; increase of some interest rates; and relaxation of capital transfer restrictions. The moves toward privatizations started during his administration. Financial necessity forced the selling off of about 200 government enterprises. Nevertheless, the inflation continuously grew, see Fig. 3(b). In 1988, Carlos Salinas de Gotari, young technocrat with a Ph.D. in economics from Harvard University was elected president. The criterion was to find a person with expertize for dealing with Mexico's financial and economic problems. He deepen successfully the stabilization program for stopping inflation began by de la Madrid, see Fig. 3(b).

The CPI and GRI were evaluated using data of inflation taken from Ref. [39], these observables are plotted in panels (a) and (b) of Fig. 3, respectively. The values from 1960 to 1988, previous to stabilization, have been analyzed. For the study of the Mexico's inflation the same procedure as that applied in the case of Israel was adopted. So, in a first step, we fitted data of CPI with Eq. (2.15). The parameters together with the χ obtained by stopping the minimization procedure when the variation of χ between the "$i+1$" and "i" iterations was smaller than a standard choice 10^{-1} % are listed in Table II. A glance at this table shows a small exponent of the power law $\beta = 0.043 \pm 0.028$ and a large critical time $t_c = 2132 \pm 99$. If one allows the iterations to continue, the correlation between these parameters becomes clear. For instance, in Table II we quoted values obtained when the change of χ becomes less than 10^{-3} %. As in the case of Israel, β goes to 0 and t_c increases keeping a_p given by Eq. (4.4) constant. These results indicate that the NLF model tends towards the LF one. Therefore, the analysis was completed fitting the CPI data directly with LF's Eq. (2.21). The obtained parameters are included in Table II. Notice the excellent agreement between the values of r_0, a_p, and χ yielded by the LF approach and those obtained from the "long" fit with Eq. (2.15) of the NLF model. The quality of the fits is depicted in Fig. 3(a). In addition, the theoretical GRI was calculated using Eqs.



FIG. 3: (a) Squares are yearly CPI in Mexico from 1960 to 1994 normalized to $P(t_0 = 1960) = 1$. The dashed line is the fit of the series 1960-1988 with Eq. (2.21) of the LF approach, while the solid curve show the fit of the same data with Eq. (3.38) of the present ENLF(t_c) model. (b) Circles are yearly GRI for the same period as in (a). The dashed line was evaluated with Eq. (2.20), LF model, while the solid curve was calculated with Eq. (3.36), ENLF(t_c) model. In both cases the parameters listed in Table II were used.



FIG. 4: (a) Squares are yearly CPI in Iceland from 1960 to 1998 normalized to $P(t_0 = 1960) = 1$. The dashed line is the fit of the series 1960-1983 with Eq. (2.21) of the LF approach, while the solid curve show the fit of the same data with Eq. (3.38) of the present ENLF(t_c) model. (b) Circles are yearly GRI for the same period as in (a). The dashed line was evaluated with Eq. (2.20), LF model, while the solid curve was calculated with Eq. (3.36), ENLF(t_c) model. In both cases the parameters listed in Table II were used.

(2.12) and (2.20) and it is compared to data in Fig. 3(b). No difference between LF and NLF can be observed. So, no estimation for t_c can be achieved.

Next, the CPI data for the period 1960-1988 were fitted to Eq. (3.38) provided by the ENLF model written in therms of r_0, β, γ, and z_0. This procedure lead to the values listed in Table II. The value $\beta = 0.082 \pm 0.149$, although larger than in the case of Israel, is also consistent with zero suggesting a LF. Moreover, the result for $a_p(z_0)$ also satisfies the relation (4.6).

Finally, the same data of CPI were fitted with Eq. (3.38) of the ENLF model written in therms of r_0, β, γ, and t_c. The obtained parameters are also included in Table II and the fit is displayed in Fig. 3(a). In this case, both parameters $t_c = 2034$ and $\beta = 0.134$ are well defined and reasonable. Although the χ is slightly larger than that obtained with the LF model a good match of theoretical CPI with measured data is got. For completeness, the GRI was evaluated using Eq. (3.36) and plotted in Fig. 3(b), where one may observe a good accordance with data. These results indicate that the ENLF(t_c) model provides a satisfactory description of the episode occurred in Mexico. Hence, one can state that multiple equilibria phenomena are also present in this case. The solution with $\beta > 0$ shows a trajectory towards the category of hyperinflation.

It is also interesting to study the increase of prices occurred in Iceland during the period from 1960 to 1983

[40] right previously to the disinflation analyzed by MTT (see Table 2 in Ref. [14]). Let us mention that Iceland is a nation with less than a half million inhabitants, so in contrast to Mexico this is a rather small economy. Iceland was under Norwegian and Danish kings along centuries. It is an independent nation since 1944. During the examined period the government's fiscal policy was strictly Keynesian, and their aim was to create the necessary industrial infrastructure for a prosperous developed country. It was considered essential to keep unemployment down to an absolute minimum and to protect the export of fishing industry through currency manipulation and other means. Due to the country's dependence both on unreliable fish catches and foreign demand for fish products, Iceland's economy remained very unstable well into the 1990's, when the country's economy was greatly diversified. Iceland then became a member of the European Economic Area in 1994. Economic stability increased and previously chronic inflation was drastically reduced.

The GRI and CPI for Iceland were evaluated with data taken from [39] and are plotted in panels (a) and (b) of Fig. 4, respectively. One may observe that before stabilization the CPI reaches a value of about 5×10^2, being more than one order of magnitude smaller than the value 10^4 corresponding to Israel and slightly smaller than that of Mexico. Moreover, a further check of this relative strength of inflations can be done comparing Fig. 4(b) with Figs. 2(b) and 3(b). Hence, the inflation of Iceland was studied in the same way as the episodes of that countries. However, in this case the analysis was begun by fitting data of CPI for the period 1960-1983 with Eq. (2.21) of the LF model. The obtained parameters are listed in Table II. The quality of the adjustment is shown in Fig. 4(a). The calculated $B_{MTT} = 1 + 2a_p = 1.20$ is smaller than the values quoted in Table 1 of Ref. [14], indicating that in this case the inflation was less severe than for the examples examined there. Next, a standard "short" fit of the same data for CPI with Eq. (2.15) of the NLF model, similar to that performed in the cases treated previously, yielded the parameters quoted in Table II. One may realize that $\beta = 0.160 \pm 0.260$ is consistent with zero and $t_c = 2037 \pm 104$ is rather undetermined. Furthermore, a "longer" fit indicates that β goes to 0 and t_c increases presenting an even larger uncertainty, both these features can be seen in Table II. On the other hand, a_p given by Eq. (4.4) converges to a constant value, which coincides with that yielded by the fit with the LF model. The GRI evaluated with Eqs. (2.12) and (2.20) is displayed in Fig. 4(b), no difference between the LF and NLF approaches can be observed on the scale of the drawing. So, as expected, in this case the NLF model also converges towards the LF one. Hence, no prediction for t_c could be obtained.

Therefore, in order to get an estimation for t_c, we also applied the ENLF model for analyzing this episode. Firstly, the same series of CPI data was fitted to Eq. (3.38) written in therms of r_0, β, γ, and z_0. This procedure lead to the values listed in Table II, where one can realize that the χ is equal to that obtained with the LF model. The value $\beta = 0.037 \pm 1.664$, although presents a very large uncertainty, it is consistent with zero suggesting a LF. On the other hand, the result for $a_p(z_0)$ also satisfies the relation (4.6). However, the critical time calculated with Eq. (3.37), $t_c = 2291$, is too large making this prediction useless.

Finally, the ENLF model written in therms of r_0, β, γ, and t_c was applied for describing the examined data. The fit with Eq. (3.38) yielded the parameters are included in Table II. In this case both parameters, $t_c = 2049$ and $\beta = 0.151$, are reasonable. If the minimization is continued the values of the parameters remain stable, while their uncertainties diminish. In addition, the χ is almost equal to that provided by the fit to the LF model. As depicted in Fig. 4(a), the matching between theoretical CPI and measured data is quite good. For completeness, the GRI was evaluated using Eq. (3.36) with the parameters of the ENLF(t_c) model. The result is plotted in Fig. 4(b), where one may observe a good accordance with data. So, the ENLF(t_c) model describes satisfactorily well the episode occurred in Iceland providing an acceptable prediction for t_c in case that this high inflation would become a hyperinflation. This is another example of multiple equilibria.

V. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

In the present work we treated regimes of hyper- and high-inflation in economy. In a previous work [20] it has been found that for a weak hyperinflation, like e.g. that developed in Israel, was impossible to determine a value of t_c within the frame of the NLF model. This model is based on a power law with an exponent $\beta > 0$, see Eq. (2.9). The mentioned drawback has been attributed to a permanent but incomplete effort for stopping inflation. Therefore, in the present work we suggested to include in the theory information on saturation by introducing a parameter γ, which multiplies all the past inflation growth rates. This parameter would account for the effort done by the government for coping inflation.

In the extended approach, ENLF, reported in the present paper the solutions for GRI and CPI are also analytic as in the NLF model. In particular, the CPI is expressed in therms of the Gaussian hypergeometric function ${}_2F_1(1/\beta, 1/\beta, 1 + 1/\beta; z)$, where z is a function of γ, β, r_0, and t_c, see Eqs. (3.37)-(3.39). It is pertinent to notice that ${}_2F_1$ appears in a variety of mathematical and physical problems. For $z \to 1$ this hypergeometric function diverges leading to a finite time singularity, from which a value of t_c can be determined. The same singularity is present in Eq. (3.36) for GRI. So, the ENLF model proposed in the present work preserves the well-defined singularities yielded by the power law stemmed from a simple positive nonlinear feedback. This mechanism is important for understanding processes in financial

crashes (see Ref. [12] and references therein). For completeness, in the appendix it is shown that for the limit $\gamma \to 1$ from above, one retrieves all the expressions of the NLF model.

An analysis of the severe hyperinflation occurred in Hungary after the World War II proves that the novel ENLF approach is robust. When it is used for examining data of Israel there are two sorts of solutions. One yields β consistent with zero (LF model) and the other one gives a well determined and reasonable t_c. As a further application, high-inflation regimes exhibiting weaker inflations than that of Israel were also analyzed. The episodes occurred in Mexico and Iceland are reported in the present work. Data of both series of inflation can be described, as in the case of Israel, with the LF model. However, the ENLF(t_c) model also provides additional solutions forecasting possible blow up of the economies in case the high inflation regimes would become spirals of hyperinflation. The corresponding fits are very good and the predicted values of t_c for crashes are acceptable.

The phenomena of multiple equilibria, a known feature in models of economics [21–27], appears due to the fact that the introduction of γ enlarges the dimension of the χ hyper-surface, which now also presents minimums in domains where the parameters t_c and β are not strongly correlated. So, we can state that the parameter γ allows a more complete representation of inflationary processes. It is interesting to note that the exponent of the nonlinear feedback of inflation can be controlled by a parameter multiplying the growth rate. Different combinations of parameters may be interpreted as forces acting with its own strength, producing dynamical paths that lead to very different outcomes.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported in part by the Ministry of Science and Technology of Argentina through Grants PIP 0546/09 from CONICET and PICT 2011/01217 from ANPCYT, and Grant UBACYT 01/K156 from University of Buenos Aires.

Appendix: Limits for $\gamma \to 1$ ($s \to 0$)

In this appendix we show that by imposing the limit $\gamma \to 1$, which is equivalent to $s \to 0$, in the generalized expressions for GRI and CPI the forms reported in Ref. [17] are recovered. So, starting from Eq. (3.15) for GRI and keeping only linear terms of the expansion in'powers of s one gets

$$\begin{aligned} r(t) &= r_0 \left[\frac{s \, \exp[\beta \, s \, (t-t_0)]}{s + q \, r_0^{\beta} (1 - \exp[\beta \, s \, (t-t_0)])} \right]^{1/\beta} \\ &= r_0 \left[\frac{s \, [1 - \beta \, s \, (t-t_0)]}{(s + q \, r_0^{\beta}) \, [1 - \beta \, s \, (t-t_0)] - q \, r_0^{\beta}} \right]^{1/\beta} , \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

which in the limit $s \to 0$, where $q \to 1/[\beta r_0^{\beta}(t_c - t_0)]$, yields

$$\begin{aligned} r(t) &= r_0 \left[\frac{1}{1 - (t-t_0)/(t_c - t_0)} \right]^{1/\beta} \\ &= r_0 \left(\frac{t_c - t_0}{t_c - t} \right)^{1/\beta} , \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

recovering Eq. (2.12).

(i) Starting from the general solution for CPI given by Eq. (3.20) written as

$$\begin{aligned} \ln \left[\frac{P(t)}{P_0} \right] &= \frac{r_0}{\Delta t} \left(\frac{1}{s + q r_0^{\beta}} \right)^{1/\beta} s^{-1+1/\beta} \\ &\times \Big[{}_2F_1(1/\beta, 1/\beta, 1+1/\beta; z) \, \exp[s(t-t_0)] \\ &- {}_2F_1(1/\beta, 1/\beta, 1+1/\beta; z_0) \Big] , \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

the limit $s \to 0$ is evaluated expanding the hypergeometric function for small values of s. Using the relation

$$\begin{aligned} z \, \frac{d}{dz} \Big[{}_2F_1(1/\beta, 1/\beta, 1+1/\beta; z) \Big] = (1-z)^{-1/\beta} \\ - {}_2F_1(1/\beta, 1/\beta, 1+1/\beta; z) \Big] , \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

and the on line Mathematica one gets

$$\begin{aligned} & s^{-1+1/\beta} \, {}_2F_1(\frac{1}{\beta}, \frac{1}{\beta}, 1+\frac{1}{\beta}; z) = \\ & s^{-1+1/\beta} \, {}_2F_1(\frac{1}{\beta}, \frac{1}{\beta}, 1+\frac{1}{\beta}; z) \Big|_{s=0} \\ & \quad \times \left[1 + \left(\frac{1}{\beta \, q \, r_0^{\beta}} - (t-t_0) \right) s \right] \\ & + \frac{\Gamma[1+\frac{1}{\beta}] \Gamma[-1+\frac{1}{\beta}]}{(\Gamma[\frac{1}{\beta}])^2} \left(\frac{1}{q \, r_0^{\beta}} - \beta \, (t-t_0) \right)^{1-1/\beta} \\ & + \mathcal{O}(higher - order) \, . \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

Upon introducing this result into Eq. (3) and using the property of Γ functions

$$\frac{\Gamma[1+1/\beta] \Gamma[-1+1/\beta]}{(\Gamma[1/\beta])^2} = \frac{1}{1-\beta} , \tag{6}$$

the expansion of the exponential yields

$$\ln\left[\frac{P(t)}{P_0}\right] = \frac{r_0}{\Delta t}\left(\frac{1}{s+qr_0^\beta}\right)^{1/\beta}\Bigg\{\Bigg\{s^{-1+1/\beta} \times {}_2F_1(\frac{1}{\beta},\frac{1}{\beta},1+\frac{1}{\beta};z)\Big|_{s=0}\left[1+\left(\frac{1}{\beta qr_0^\beta}-(t-t_0)\right)s\right] + \frac{1}{1-\beta}\left(\frac{1}{q\,r_0^\beta}-\beta\,(t-t_0)\right)^{1-1/\beta}\Bigg\}[1+(t-t_0)\,s] - s^{-1+1/\beta}\,{}_2F_1(\frac{1}{\beta},\frac{1}{\beta},1+\frac{1}{\beta};z_0)\Big|_{s=0}\left[1+\frac{s}{\beta qr_0^\beta}\right] - \frac{1}{1-\beta}\left(\frac{1}{q\,r_0^\beta}\right)^{1-1/\beta}\Bigg\}, \quad (7)$$

When keeping in the CPI only the lowest order of s one gets

$$\ln\left[\frac{P(t)}{P_0}\right] = \frac{r_0}{\Delta t}\left(\frac{1}{s+qr_0^\beta}\right)^{1/\beta}\Bigg\{s^{-1+1/\beta} \times {}_2F_1(\frac{1}{\beta},\frac{1}{\beta},1+\frac{1}{\beta};z)\Big|_{s=0}\left[1+\frac{s}{\beta qr_0^\beta}\right] + \frac{1}{1-\beta}\left(\frac{1}{q\,r_0^\beta}-\beta\,(t-t_0)\right)^{1-1/\beta}[1+(t-t_0)\,s] - s^{-1+1/\beta}\,{}_2F_1(\frac{1}{\beta},\frac{1}{\beta},1+\frac{1}{\beta};z_0)\Big|_{s=0}\left[1+\frac{s}{\beta qr_0^\beta}\right] - \frac{1}{1-\beta}\left(\frac{1}{q\,r_0^\beta}\right)^{1-1/\beta}\Bigg\}. \quad (8)$$

Furthermore, due to the fact that

$${}_2F_1(\frac{1}{\beta},\frac{1}{\beta},1+\frac{1}{\beta};z)\Big|_{s=0} = {}_2F_1(\frac{1}{\beta},\frac{1}{\beta},1+\frac{1}{\beta};z_0)\Big|_{s=0}, \quad (9)$$

the remaining contributions of the Gauss' hypergeometric function cancel out leading to

$$\ln\left[\frac{P(t)}{P_0}\right] = \frac{r_0}{\Delta t}\left(\frac{1}{s+qr_0^\beta}\right)^{1/\beta}\frac{1}{1-\beta}\left(\frac{1}{q\,r_0^\beta}\right)^{1-1/\beta} \times\left[\left(1-\beta\,q\,r_0^\beta\,(t-t_0)\right)^{1-1/\beta}[1+(t-t_0)\,s]-1\right]. \quad (10)$$

Now, the limit $s \to 0$, where $q \to 1/[\beta r_0^\beta(t_c-t_0)]$, leads to

$$\begin{aligned}\ln\left[\frac{P(t)}{P_0}\right] &= \frac{r_0}{(1-\beta)\Delta t}\frac{1}{qr_0^\beta} \times\left[\left(\frac{1}{1-\beta qr_0^\beta(t-t_0)}\right)^{\frac{1-\beta}{\beta}}-1\right] \\ &= \frac{\beta\ r_0}{(1-\beta)}\left(\frac{t_c-t_0}{\Delta t}\right)\left[\left(\frac{t_c-t_0}{t_c-t}\right)^{\frac{1-\beta}{\beta}}-1\right],\end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

in agreement with Eq. (2.15).

(ii) In the case of $\beta = 1$, starting from the CPI given by Eq. (3.23)

$$\ln\left[\frac{P(t)}{P_0}\right] = \frac{1}{q\,\Delta t}\ln\left[\frac{s}{s+q\,r_0\,(1-\exp[s(t-t_0)])}\right], \quad (12)$$

for $s \to 0$ one gets

$$\begin{aligned}\ln\left[\frac{P(t)}{P_0}\right] &= \frac{1}{q\,\Delta t}\ln\left[\frac{1}{1-q\,r_0\,(t-t_0)}\right] \\ &= r_0\left(\frac{t_c-t_0}{\Delta t}\right)\ln\left[\frac{1}{1-(t-t_0)/(t_c-t_0)}\right] \\ &= r_0\left(\frac{t_c-t_0}{\Delta t}\right)\ln\left(\frac{t_c-t_0}{t_c-t}\right),\end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

which coincides with Eq. (2.19).

[1] J.H.G. Olivera, Money, Prices and Fiscal Lags: A Note on the Dynamics of Inflation, Banca Nazionale del Lavoro Quarterly Review **20** (1967) 258-267.

[2] V. Tanzi, Inflation, Lags in Collection, and the Real Value of Tax Revenue, IMF Staff Papers, **24** (1977) 154-167; and Inflation, Real Tax Revenue, and the Case for Inflationary Finance: Theory with an Application to Argentina, IMF Staff Papers, **25** (1978) 417-451.

[3] R.E. Lucas Jr, Expectations and the Neutrality of Money, Journal of Economic Theory **4** (2) (1972) 103-124.
[4] M. King, Twenty years of inflation targeting (Speech), The Stamp Memorial Lecture, London School of Economics 9 October 2012.
[5] S. Fischer, R.E. Hall, and J.B. Taylor, Relative shocks, relative price variability, and inflation, Brookings Papers on Economic Activity 1981.2 (1981) 381-441.
[6] T.F. Cooley and G.D. Hansen, The inflation tax in a real business cycle model, American Economic Review **79** (4) (1989) 733-748.
[7] J.B. Taylor, Aggregate dynamics and staggered contracts, Journal of Political Economy **88** (1) (1980) 1-23.
[8] D. Heymann and A. Leijonhufvud, High Inflation: The Arne Ryde Memorial Lectures, Clarendon Press, Oxford (England), 1995.
[9] R.E. Lucas Jr, Econometric policy evaluation: A critique, in: Karl Brunner and Allan H. Meltzer (Eds), The Phillips Curve and Labor Market vol 1 of Carnegie-Rochester Conference Series on Public Policy, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1976.
[10] R.N. Mantegna and E. Stanley, An Introduction to Econophysics: Correlations and Complexity in Finance, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 1999.
[11] S. Moss de Oliveira, P.M.C. de Oliveira, and D. Stauffer, Evolution, Money, War and Computers, Teubner, Stuttgart-Leipzig, 1999.
[12] D. Sornette, Why Stock Markets Crash (Critical Events in Complex Financial Systems), Princeton University Press, Princeton, 2003.
[13] P. Cagan, The monetary dynamics of hyperinflation, in: M. Friedman(Ed.), Studies in the Quantity Theory of Money, University of Chicago Press, Chicago, 1956.
[14] T. Mizuno, M. Takayasu, and H. Takayasu, The mechanism of double-exponential growth in hyperinflation, Physica A **308** (2002) 411-419.
[15] D. Sornette, H. Takayasu, and W.-X. Zhou, Finite-time singularity signature of hyperinflation, Physica A **325** (2003) 492-506.
[16] M.A. Szybisz and L. Szybisz, Finite-time singularity in the evolution of hyperinflation episodes, http://arxiv.org/abs/0802.3553.
[17] M.A. Szybisz and L. Szybisz, Finite-time singularities in the dynamics of hyperinflation in an economy, Phys. Rev. B **80** (2009) 026116/1-11.
[18] L. Szybisz and M.A. Szybisz, People's collective behavior in a hyperinflation, Advances Applic. Stat. Sciences **2** (2010) 315-331.
[19] L. Szybisz and M.A. Szybisz, Universality of the behavior at the final stage of hyperinflation episodes in economy, Anales AFA **26** (2015) 142-147.
[20] M.A. Szybisz and L. Szybisz, Hyperinflation in Brazil, Israel, and Nicaragua revisited, http://arxiv.org/abs/1601.00092.
[21] R. Cooper, Coordination games: Complementarities and Macroeconomics, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999.
[22] P.A. Diamond, Aggregate demand management in search equilibrium, Journal of Political Economy **90** (1982) 881-894.
[23] M. Bruno and S. Fisher, Seigniorage, operating rules and the high inflation trap, National Bureau of Economic Research Cambridge, Mass., USA, 1987.
[24] M. Obstfeld, Models of currency crises with self-fulfilling features, European Economic Review **40** (3) (1996) 1037-1047.
[25] P. Krugman, Balance sheets, the transfer problem, and financial crises, in: International finance and financial crises, Springer Netherlands, 1999. (pp. 31-55)
[26] S. Morris and H.S. Shin, Rethinking multiple equilibria in macroeconomic modeling, in: NBER Macroeconomics Annual, B.S. Bernanke and K. Rogoff (Eds.), MIT Press, Massachusetts, 2000. Vol **15** pp. 139-181.
[27] J-P. Nadal, D. Phan, M.B. Gordon, and J. Vannimenus, Multiple equilibria in monopoly market with heterogeneous agents and externalities, Quantitative Finance **5** (2005) 557-568.
[28] S. Flügge, Practical quantum mechanics, Springer, Berlin, 1971.
[29] M. Abramowitz and I.A. Stegun, Handbook of mathematical functions, Dover, New York, 1972.
[30] B. Paal, Measuring the Inflation of Parallel Currencies: An Empirical Reevaluation of the Second Hungarian Hyperinflation, Stanford Institute for Economic Policy Research, Discussion Paper No. 00-01, June 2000.
[31] P.Z. Grossman and J. Horváth, The Dynamics of the Hungarian Hyperinflation, 1945-6: A New Perspective, Scholarship and Professional Work - Business, Paper 29, 2000.
[32] P.R. Bevington, Data Reduction and Error Analysis for the Physical Sciences, McGraw Hill, New York, 1969.
[33] W.H. Press, S.A. Teukolsky, W.T. Vetterling, and B.P. Flannery, Numerical Recipes in Fortran 77, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1996.
[34] Table of the International Monetary Fund, http://www.imf.org/external/pubs/ft/weo/2002/01/data/index.htm.
[35] L. Leiderman and N. Liviatan, The 1985 stabilization from the perspective of the 1990's, Israel Economic Review **1** (1) (2003) 103-131.
[36] L. Rojas-Suárez, From the Debt Crisis Toward Economic Stability: An Analysis of the Consistency of Macroeconomic Policies in Mexico, International Monetary Fund, Working Paper No. 17, 1992.
[37] T. Goodman, The Economy, in: Mexico a country study, T.L. Merrill and R. Miro (Eds.), Federal Research Division, Library of Congress, 1997. Chapter 3, pp. 141-228.
[38] R. Bergoeing, P.J. Kehoe, T.J. Kehoe, and R. Soto, A decade Lost and Found: Mexico and Chile in the 1980's, Federal Reserve Bank of Minneapolis, 2007.
[39] Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis, https://research.stlouisfed.org/fred2/series/
[40] P.S. Andersen and M. Guðmundsson, Inflation and disinflation in Iceland, Bank for International Settlements, Working

Papers No. 52, Basel, Switzerland, 1998.